TENT AND TRADEMAR IN THE 1632 **Group Art Unit** Examiner: A.-M. Baker Inventor(s): DYMECKI Atty. Dkt. 234805 Appln. No.: 866,279 PMS 08 Serial No. 1 М# Series Code ↑ May 30, 1997 (Our Deposit Account No. 03-39) Filed: Use of Flp Recombinase in Mice Title: (Our Order No. 20263 Asst. Commissioner of Patents Date: March 17, 1999 Washington, D.C. 20231 Sir:

This is a reply/amendment/letter in the above-identified application and includes the herewith attachment of same date and subject which is incorporated hereinto by reference and the signature below is treated as the signature to the attachment in absence of a signature thereto.

FEE REQUIREMENTS FOR CLAIMS AS AMENDED

"Small Entity" statement(s) filed							
previously herewith (No.)	Claims remaining after amendment	Highest number previously paid for		Present Extra	Large/Small Entity	Additional Fee	Fee Code
2. Total Effective Claims	49	**minus	49	0	x \$18/\$9 =	+0	103/203
3. Independent Claims	4	***minus	4	0	x \$78/\$39 =	+0	102/202
4. If amendment enters <u>proper</u> multiple dependent claim(s) into this application for <u>first</u> time (leave <u>blank</u> if this is a <u>reissue</u> application)add + \$260/\$130 =						+	104/204
5. Original due Date:	<b>⋈</b> NONE		A CANADA			1	
6. <b>Petition is hereby made</b> to extend the original due date to cover the date this response is filed for which the requisite fee is attached		(1 mo) (2 mos) (3 mos)		\$110/\$55 = \$380/\$190 = \$870/\$435 =	+ 0		115/215 116/216 117/217
7. Enter any previous extension fee paid since above original due date and subtract -							
8. Extension Fee Attached						+0	
9. If Terminal Disclaimer attached, add Rule 20(d) official fee						+0	148/248
10. If IDS attached requires Official Fee,						+ 0	126 122
11. After-Final Request Fee per rules 129(a) and 17(r) + \$760/380 =						+ 0	146/246
12. No. of additional inventions for examination per Rule 129(b)						+ 0	149/249
13. Petition fee for						+	
14. TOTAL FEE ENCLOSED =						\$0	
15 VICaba and the second loss than a		"Drocont Extro	" =aault i	o "O"			

15. \*If the entry in this space is less than entry in next space, the "Present Extra" result is "0".

16. \*\*If the "Highest number previously paid for" in this space is less than 20, write "20" in this space.

CHARGE STATEMENT: The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any fee specifically authorized hereafter, or any missing or insufficient fee(s) filed, or asserted to be filed, or which should have been filed herewith or concerning any paper filed hereafter, and which may be required under Rules 16-18 (missing or insufficiencies only) now or hereafter relative to this application and the resulting Official Document under Rule 20, or credit any overpayment, to our Accounting/Order Nos. shown in the heading hereof, for which purpose a duplicate copy of this sheet is attached.

This CHARGE STATEMENT does not authorize charge of the issue fee until/unless an issue fee transmittal sheet is filed.

Query: Is appeal deadline now? If so, file Notice of Appeals separately.

16,773

Pillsbury Madison & Sutro LLP Intellectual Property Group

1100 New York Avenue, N.W. Ninth Floor East Tower Washington, D.C. 20005-3918

Tel: (202) 861-3000 Atty/Sec: PNK/GRT By Atty: Paul N. Kokulis
Sig: Jam Jam

Reg. No.

(202) 822-0944

Tel:

(202) 861-3503

NOTE: File this cover sheet in duplicate with PTO receipt (PAT-103A) and attachments

<sup>17. \*\*\*</sup>If the "Highest number previously paid for" in this space is less than 3, write "3" in this space.

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

MAR 1 7 1999

In re PATENT APPLICATION OF

## DYMECKI

Appln. No. 08/866,279

Filed: May 30, 1997

FOR: USE OF FLP RECOMBINASE IN MICE

Group Art Unit: 1632

Examiner: A.-M. Baker

LETTER

Hon. Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231

Sir:

In response to a request from the Examiner, a copy of applicant's Response filed January 14, 1999 was sent by facsimile transmission on March 15, 1999. The copy was provided because the original has not yet been matched to the application file, and may be lost in the Patent Office.

It is applicant's understanding that the Information Disclosure Statement filed February 3, 1999 has been received by the Examiner. That Information Disclosure Statement lists references submitted for the Examiner's consideration on January 14, 1999. Therefore, if the references listed on the form PTO-1449 have not placed in the application file, the Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned so that additional copies of the references may be provided.

On March 16, 1999, the Examiner contacted the undersigned to request clarification about the claim amendments discussed

March 17, 1999

DYMECKI - Appln. No. 08/866,279

in the fourth paragraph of the Response filed January 14, 1999. Unfortunately, that paragraph and the succeeding paragraph were mistakenly included in the Response. This paper is being submitted to clarify the record. Applicant did not amend the claims in the Response filed January 14, 1999. Furthermore, an initialed form PTO-1449 from the Information Disclosure Statement filed May 20, 1998 was received with the Office Action mailed September 14, 1998.

The prompt notice given by the Examiner is appreciated.

Moreover, any inconvenience to the Examiner caused by the inclusion of the two paragraphs discussed above is regretted.

Respectfully submitted,

Cushman Darby & Cushman Intellectual Property Group of PILLSBURY MADISON & SUTRO, L.L.P.

for Paul N. Kodulis

Reg. No. 16,773

Telephone: (202) 861-3503 Facsimile: (202) 822-0944

PNK/GRT 1100 New York Avenue, N.W. Ninth Floor, East Tower Washington, DC 20005-3918 Telephone: (202) 861-3000